# **Defences to Negligence Claims:**



# (2) Consent

volenti non fit injuria (no injury is done to one who consents)

# (3) Illegality

ex turpi causa non oritur actio (an action in law cannot be founded on an illegal or immoral act)

#### (1) Contributory Negligence

Prior to 1945 Act: Very Harsh - no compensation even if only partially to blame.

### Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945:

s1(1):

If harm is partially C's fault - can still get compensation - damages. Reduction in damages – courts discretion.

#### For D to raise defence.

Must prove both – C was at fault & C's fault was cause of the damage.

# **How do the Courts Work Out How Much Damages??**

Froom v Butcher Owens v Brimmell Badger v MoD

Kids are judged against other kids - Gough v Thorne

### (2) Consent

"one who has invited or assented to an act being done towards him cannot, when her suffers from it, complain of it as a wrong" (Smith v Baker)

<u>Better Defence – But more difficult to prove.</u>
If the defence succeeds – **no valid claim at all – total defence.** D must prove a **genuine choice** on behalf of C.

## Difficult Areas:

#### Rescuers:

defence cannot be used Baker v TE Hopkins

# Spectator sports:

defence cannot be used Woolridge v Sumner

# **Employees**

Can use defence - as long if drinking was consented as they are aware of risk they are taking ICI v Shatwell

# **Drinking**

to - thus - acts done whilst drunk were too. Morris v Murray

#### Legislation regulating the use of consent as a defence:

#### UCTA 1977 ss1&2

restricts where people can use consent as a defence: s.2 – death or personal injury.

#### Road Traffic Act 1988, s.149

when drivers should be insured cannot use the defence of consent.

# (3) Illegality/Public Policy

# Where C is doing something illegal or immoral when they were injured.

Doesn't apply very often Defence successful - no valid claim - Total Defence.

D must prove C was doing the Illegal/Immoral act.

# Criticism:

unpredictable - sometimes damages are awarded. <u>Revill v Newbery</u> Vellino v Chief Con. Of G.Manchester Pitts v Hunt Gray v Thames Trains Moore Stephens v Stone Rolls Ltd